

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/904,022 | 07/12/2001 | Donald Henry Willis | PU010149 | 1946 |
| Joseph S. Tripoli THOMSON multimedia Licensing Inc. Patent Operations, Two Independence Way P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | CHOWDHURY, NIGAR | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2621 | |
| | | • | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | • | 11/15/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| 1 | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/904,022 | WILLIS, DONALD HENRY | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | Nigar Chowdhury | 2621 | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE! | lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 September 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 12 July 2001 is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | |
| 2) Notice Notice 3) Information | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | nte | | |

09/904,022 Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 09/04/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In re page 7, applicant argues that Boyce and Uchima fail to disclose "conversion of an **introductory** predictive picture to an intra picture and replacing the **introductory** predictive picture with the intra picture in a video segment" as recited in claims 1 and 6. Applicant also describes the situation of introductory from specification which is "an introductory predictive is used to properly decode a subsequent predictive, but is not itself initially properly decoded"

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. In the claim limitation introductory is not defined anywhere and the specification is not the measure of invention. Therefore, limitations contained therein can not be read into the claims for the purpose of avoiding the prior art. In re Sporck, 55 CCPA 743,386 F.2d 924, 155 USPQ 687 (1968).

By the Dictionary Merriam-Webster, Tenth Edition, the definition of **introductory** is "of, relating to, or being a first step that sets something going or in proper perspective". Uchima discloses in fig. 16 and from col. 5 lines 49-67, col. 8 lines 36-col. 9 lines 7, and col. 11 lines 41-57 that "In ...the first frame data is coded by interframe coding method, each receiving terminal can not restore the frame into a picture....data of the first frame is not interframely but intraframely coded and stored....", "In the

thus....interframely coded frames A, have a larger amount of data per frame and can be read out in any order and be surely reproduced at any". Uchima discloses conversion of predictive picture into an intra picture. There should be a first step of converting first (introductory) predictive frame into an intra picture to replace.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,726,711 by Boyce in view of US Patent No. 6,078,721 by Uchimi et al.
- 2. Regarding **claim 1**, Boyce discloses a method of recording onto a storage medium a video segment (Fig. 1 (16), Col. 6 lines 33-49) comprising the steps of:
 - Receiving video segment, wherein video segment contains at least one introductory predictive picture containing intra macroblocks (Fig. 1, Col. 4 lines 20-24, Col. 6 lines 33-Col. 7 lines 11)

Boyce fails to disclose

09/904,022 Art Unit: 2621

> Selectively converting at least one introductory predictive picture into an intra picture thereby replacing at least one introductory predictive picture with intra picture in video segment.

Uchimi discloses

Selectively converting at least one introductory predictive picture into an intra picture thereby replacing at least one introductory predictive picture with intra picture in video segment (Col. 5 lines 49-67, Col. 8 lines 36-Col. 9 lines 7, Fig. 16, Col. 11 lines 41-57).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the proposed combination of Boyce's system to include selectively converting system, as taught by Uchimi, for generating pictures specially reproducible, for example, with rapid forward or rapid reverse feeding.

3. Regarding **claim 2**, Boyce also discloses the step of selectively decoding a predetermined number of introductory predictive pictures to obtain a properly decoded predictive picture (Fig. 3, Col. 12 lines 37-48)

Uchimi discloses converting step (Fig. 16, Col. 11 lines 41-57)

4. Regarding **claim 3**, Boyce discloses predetermined number is based in part on the amount of intra macroblocks in each introductory predictive pictures (Col. 10 lines 40-55).

09/904,022 Art Unit: 2621

- 5. Regarding **claim 4**, Uchimi discloses video segment contains at least one subsequent predictive picture and converting step further comprises the steps of:
 - Selectively decoding subsequent predictive pictures (Fig. 15, Col. 11 lines 11-40)
 - Selectively re-encoding into intra pictures predictive pictures selected from the group comprising subsequent predictive pictures or introductory predictive pictures (Fig. 15, Col. 11 lines 11-40).
- 6. Regarding **claim 5**, Boyce discloses wherein video segment is an MPEG video segment that does not contain any intra pictures (Col. 5 lines 55-67).
- 7. System **claims 6-10** are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in method claims 1-5 above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 6

Application/Control Number:

09/904,022 Art Unit: 2621

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nigar Chowdhury whose telephone number is 571-272-8890. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NC 11/09/2007 MA TRANTE YAMMER WWW. PATENTER 2600 PERMOPROCA CENTER 2600